

San Onofre Decommissioning Community Engagement Panel

REGULAR MEETING

Thursday, April 16, 2015, from 6:00-9:00 p.m. PDT in San Juan Capistrano, California

Meeting Minutes and Action Items

1) Community Engagement Panel (CEP) Member Attendance

- a) Present: Dr. David Victor (CEP Chairman/University of California, San Diego), Hon. Tim Brown (CEP Vice Chairman/San Clemente City Council), Dan Stetson (CEP Secretary/Ocean Institute), Valentine "Val" Macedo (Laborers' International Union of North America, Local 89), Hon. Jerome "Jerry" M. Kern (Oceanside City Council), Donna Boston (Orange County Sheriff's Department), Dr. William Parker (University of California, Irvine), Garry Brown (Orange County Coastkeeper), Jim Leach (South Orange County Economic Coalition), Rich Haydon (California State Parks), Tom Caughlan (Camp Pendleton), Richard McPherson (American Nuclear Society; Ted Quinn's alternate), Hon. John Alpay (President, Capistrano Unified School District Board of Trustees), Hon. Pam Patterson (Mayor Pro Tem, San Juan Capistrano), Hon. Carlos Olvera (Mayor, Dana Point), Hon. Bill Horn (Supervisor, San Diego County), Glenn Pascall (Sierra Club)
- b) Absent: Hon. Lisa Bartlett (Supervisor, Orange County, 5th District), Ted Quinn (American Nuclear Society)
- c) Guests: Duane White (Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Security Specialist), Mark Haire (Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Plant Support, Branch 1 Chief)
- d) Southern California Edison (SCE) Representatives: Tom Palmisano (VP of Decommissioning and Chief Nuclear Officer), Ross Quam (Nuclear Security Manager)

2) Meeting Convened by Chairman Victor at 6:15 p.m.:

- a) Chairman Victor opened the meeting by reviewing that the role of the CEP is not a decision making body, but is designed as a communication conduit between the local communities and SCE, as well as a forum to educate the public on the decommissioning process
- b) Introduction of new CEP Members:
 - i) Hon. Pam Patterson, Mayor Pro Tem of San Juan Capistrano, replaced Larry Kramer
 - ii) Hon. Carlos Olvera, Mayor of Dana Point, replaced Hon. Lisa Bartlett who is now Supervisor of the Orange County 5th District and continues as a CEP member
 - iii) Glenn Pascall, Sierra Club, replaced Gene Stone, ROSE
 - iv) Tom Caughlan (present at the last meeting), Camp Pendleton, replaced Larry Rannals
- c) SONGScommunity.com is available to sign up for walking tours, watch the meetings live-stream, contact the CEP, and find archival videos and documents from past meetings
- d) Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) guests are Duane White and Mike Haire, and in the audience Tom Weingart, Senior Project Manager of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS) for the NRC (Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulations and the Division of Operating Reactor License). The topic of this meeting is security and the regulatory arrangements around security
- e) A structured public comment period will follow the presentations

3) Announcements by Tom Palmisano (Vice President of Decommissioning and Chief Nuclear Officer)

- a) Welcomed new panel members
- b) Announced Chris Thompson's departure and explained that Mr. Thompson has completed his assignment to the Decommissioning project and has gone onto other projects within SCE. Mr. Thompson could not attend the meeting but conveyed his appreciation to all panel members and to the members of the public who contributed to making the first year of the CEP successful, and looks forward to continued success

San Onofre Decommissioning Community Engagement Panel

REGULAR MEETING

Thursday, April 16, 2015, from 6:00-9:00 p.m. PDT in San Juan Capistrano, California
Meeting Minutes and Action Items

- c) Tom Palmisano explained the newly combined role of VP of Decommissioning and Chief Nuclear Officer and that the role includes the site-related corporate activities that were formerly the responsibility of Mr. Thompson
- 4) **Briefing on CEP Next Steps on Long-Term Spent Fuel Storage by Chairman Victor**
- a) Chairman Victor discussed the concept memo he put together with the help of CEP Members and experts (entitled “Moving SONGS Spent Nuclear Fuel Away, or The Need for a California Waste Strategy”), that addresses spent fuel management, consolidated interim storage, the need for a California strategy, funding, regulatory approvals, and a variety of other issues. Chairman Victor encouraged both CEP and public comments
 - i) Glenn Pascall stated he admired the paper’s spirit, which supports the Sierra Club position and offers a wide range of options
 - ii) Chairman Victor and Tom Palmisano both spoke about their involvement in the upcoming California Energy Commission (CEC) 4/27 workshop on Nuclear Power Plant Issues, including spent fuel storage. With the CEP’s concurrence, Chairman Victor will share the concept memo with the CEC
 - iii) Garry Brown was delighted to see the memo and thinks there could be a California solution
 - iv) David Victor believes the CEC can take action and the CEP can ask the CEC to do so; including developing the elements of a strategy, determining if California locations are a possibility, or perhaps using private industry sites
 - v) Richard McPherson (filling in for Ted Quinn) believes the memo has a positive tone for moving forward. Suggests the consideration of all plants “west of the Rockies”; start the initiative in California but inform other states west of the Rockies of plans
 - vi) Chairman Victor will report back at the next CEP meeting on what is discovered at the April 27 CEC meeting. Would like to address not only what the communities can do but also identify how to reinvigorate a federal strategy
- 5) **San Onofre Security – Regulations and Plans**
- a) **Tom Palmisano** provided a brief introduction
 - i) The NRC was invited to provide an overview on security regulations and requirements, including the inspection process. Ross Quam, SONGS Security Manager, will provide an overview of the SONGS Security plan. As discussed in the past, a number of details about security and safeguards are security sensitive and cannot be disclosed
 - b) **Duane White** (Project Manager for SONGS Security, NRC Nuclear Security & Incident Response, Security Specialist)
 - i) Nuclear Security Requirements
 - (1) NRC develops the regulations for safety and security of radioactive materials, guidance for licensees, review and approval of licenses and security plans. The NRC has four regional offices for oversight of licensees through performing inspections
 - (2) Primary regulations for security are 10 CFR¹ Part 73, Physical Protection of Plants and Materials, and prescribes requirements for the establishment and maintenance of a physical protection program for protecting special nuclear material (fuel). The NRC ensures the site complies with the regulations through the inspection program. The

¹ Code of Federal Regulations

San Onofre Decommissioning Community Engagement Panel

REGULAR MEETING

Thursday, April 16, 2015, from 6:00-9:00 p.m. PDT in San Juan Capistrano, California
Meeting Minutes and Action Items

requirements for operating and decommissioning plants are the same. The changes made for decommissioning are in the structure of the security program, but the security level remains the same and ensures that the material will be protected. There are four security plans:

- (a) Physical security
- (b) Training and qualification
- (c) Safeguards contingency
- (d) Cyber security

(3) Regulations are very prescriptive and direct what is required of each of these plans; the licensee must maintain the same level of protection for the decommissioning situation

- c) **Mark Haire** (NRC, Regional Office IV, Branch Chief Manager) presented a perspective of what the NRC inspects, how often inspections are performed, and who performs the inspections
- i) There are 12 areas of security inspections to ensure utility is complying with requirements to defend against design basis threat and protect public health and safety
 - (1) Access authorization – who has access to the site
 - (2) Access controls – how those who have access to the site obtained access (credentials, biometrics, etc.)
 - (3) Force-on-force – defines what the site is protecting against (e.g., reasonable threat based on intelligence assessments) and tests the security officers ability to defend against those threats (inspection conducted every three years; site performs force-on-force exercises every year)
 - (4) Security equipment – maintenance and testing of intrusion detection system, cameras, radios, weapons, etc.
 - (5) Protective strategy – how SCE designed the protection, understanding of the fields of fire, understanding of what is being protected, officer placement, and whether as many variables as possible have been included
 - (6) Safeguards control – protection and control of security-related information that cannot be divulged to the public
 - (7) Training – significant amount of training required for tactical, use of force, weapons handling, etc.
 - (8) Fitness for duty – screening and testing of employees for chemicals, fatigue, or emotional issues
 - (9) Material control and accounting system – how custody of all radioactive material is tracked, and how control and custody is maintained (down to the gram)
 - (10) Target sets – identify the elements that are most attractive to an adversary and ensure their strategy is built around protecting those
 - (11) Cyber security – protect digital assets from potential attack vectors from the digital world
 - (12) Performance indicators – required reporting of statistical data
 - ii) NRC spends an average of 313.5 hours of direct security inspections every year
 - iii) Inspections are performed by highly trained NRC personnel (backgrounds in law enforcement or military security) who understand asset protection and vulnerabilities
- d) **Ross Quam** (Nuclear Security Manager) presented the San Onofre Security Plan (presentation can be found on SONGScommunity.com)
- i) San Onofre Security Plans, Procedures, and Role of Local Law Enforcement Agencies

San Onofre Decommissioning Community Engagement Panel

REGULAR MEETING

Thursday, April 16, 2015, from 6:00-9:00 p.m. PDT in San Juan Capistrano, California
Meeting Minutes and Action Items

- (1) The mission of the Security Plan is to protect public health and safety against the threat of radiological sabotage
 - (a) Well-trained and highly qualified armed security force, all of them have military or law enforcement backgrounds
 - (b) State-of-the-art intrusion detection system and cameras to detect and assess attempted breaches and respond with appropriate use of force
 - (c) Hardened defensive positions, such as elevated positions providing both bullet and blast protection
- (2) Objectives & Requirements are included in 10 CFR Part 73.55:
 - (a) Physical protection program to address the design basis threat of radiological sabotage
 - (b) Maintain capability to detect, assess, interdict, and neutralize threats
 - (c) Program has to demonstrate effective implementation of the protective strategy – drill and exercise program which includes force-on-force exercises and integrated exercises with emergency preparedness group and offsite law enforcement agencies
 - (d) Radiological sabotage is a deliberate act that could endanger the public by exposure to radiation.
 - (e) Threat is a determined violent external assault, attack by stealth, or deceptive actions, including diversionary actions, by an adversary force (e.g., single group attacking through one entry point, or multiple groups attacking through multiple entry points)
- (3) Adversary characteristics are included in 10 CFR Part 73.1:
 - (a) Well-trained and dedicated individuals with sufficient knowledge to identify specific equipment or locations necessary for attack
 - (b) Suitable weapons (explosives)
 - (c) Hand-carried equipment (ladders, ropes)
 - (d) Land and water-borne vehicle bomb assault
 - (e) Cyber attack
- (4) Security plans and procedures:
 - (a) Security plans are licensing documents and define how SONGS implements regulatory requirements, such as:
 - (i) Roles/responsibilities and chain of command
 - (ii) Compensatory requirements – if something fails, what are the compensatory measures taken
 - (iii) Training and qualification of security personnel – includes annual drills and exercises (including weapons training, physical fitness testing, etc.)
 - (iv) Pre-determined response plans and strategies
 - (b) Procedures provide site-specific details to ensure consistent implementation of security plans, including:
 - (i) Equipment maintenance and testing
 - (ii) Training and qualifications
 - (iii) Search requirements
 - (iv) Post responsibilities
 - (v) Tactical responses (response time is a matter of seconds)

San Onofre Decommissioning Community Engagement Panel

REGULAR MEETING

Thursday, April 16, 2015, from 6:00-9:00 p.m. PDT in San Juan Capistrano, California

Meeting Minutes and Action Items

- (c) Protection of security plans and security-related information against unauthorized disclosure
 - (i) Physical security plan
 - (ii) Training and qualification plan
 - (iii) Safeguards contingency plan
 - (iv) Cyber security plan
 - (v) Security implementing procedures
- (d) Defense-in-depth (levels of protection, in order):
 - (i) Owner controlled area (including gates, armed security officers, fences, etc.),
 - (ii) early warning system
 - (iii) Delay features (e.g., razor wire, fencing, etc.)
 - (iv) Protected area (intrusion detection, video capture, assessment capabilities and armed response, as well as additional delay features)
 - (v) Vital area protection – inside protected area there are vital areas protected by steel doors, concrete walls, delay features, and armed response
 - (vi) Physical building structure
 - (vii) Target
- (e) Provided photos showing a variety of the security protection systems used (e.g., hardened defensive positions, Independent Spent Fuel System Installation (ISFSI) vehicle barrier system, anti-grenade and explosive screens, etc.)
- (5) Insider mitigation program must contain elements from
 - (a) Access authorization program – including background investigations
 - (b) Fitness for duty program – including a continuous behavior observation program, random drug/alcohol testing, etc.
 - (c) Physical protection program – patrol all areas of the plant looking for unauthorized or suspicious behavior, personnel searches
 - (d) Integrated Law Enforcement Agency (LEA) support – The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) is the primary LEA and maintains the SONGS Integrated Law Enforcement response plan which outlines response actions for the US Coast Guard, Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), US Border Patrol, California State Park Rangers, California Highway Patrol (CHP), Orange County Sheriff, San Diego Sheriff, US Marine Corps (USMC) Provost Marshal's Office
- (6) Communications Inter-Operability by use of telephone, radio, and cell phone between FBI, CHP, USMC, State Parks, and Border Patrol
- e) CEP questions and discussion:
 - i) **Tim Brown** asked if the security program included “systems defense,” such as defense against programming the spent fuel pool to drain
 - (1) Tom Palmisano responded that personnel having protected area or vital area access are subject to additional drug/alcohol screening and behavioral observation program requirements (looking for signs of behavior that someone is under duress). A number of personnel are on-site 24/7 and personnel are highly screened and observed for trust-worthiness. Systems are constantly monitored for operability and responsiveness, looking for anything that appears abnormal. Most of the plant's systems are isolated from the outside world and many systems are not susceptible to cyber security threats

San Onofre Decommissioning Community Engagement Panel

REGULAR MEETING

Thursday, April 16, 2015, from 6:00-9:00 p.m. PDT in San Juan Capistrano, California
Meeting Minutes and Action Items

- (2) Ross Quam added that there are monitoring systems in place that notify operations of any change in system status and if necessary, operations would contact security to implement additional actions. Critical personnel having access to security plans or plant operations information have an added level of psychological and background investigations
- ii) **Hon. Pam Patterson** asked about plans to defend against aerial attacks (similar to 9/11 event)
 - (1) Ross Quam responded that if a known plane was headed towards SONGS, the FBI would request air support from the USMC through the Department of Defense. If there was knowledge of a potential threat, the FBI would contact Homeland Security to request patrol Camp Pendleton air space. Lastly, if a plane crashed into the site the result would likely not be spent fuel sabotage and the site has mitigating strategies for fire and explosion
 - (2) Tom Palmisano added that the FAA has requirements to notify Homeland Security, the FBI, and the NRC if an aircraft is suspected of being a threat to a nuclear plant. SONGS has early warning plans in place to prepare for a variety of scenarios. Significant changes were made in the industry post-9/11 to have mitigating strategies for these aircraft scenarios. Nuclear plants are not equipped to shoot down aircraft. An integrated mechanism starting with the FAA is in place to monitor air traffic, identify potential threats, and notify FBI and nuclear licensees.
- iii) **Dr. William Parker** asked what would happen if a plane loaded with fuel crashed into the spent fuel pool; how would SONGS respond to the release of radiological materials
 - (1) Tom Palmisano responded that the SONGS spent fuel buildings are robust and highly resistant to an impact. Fire mitigation would be the issue, and there is diverse equipment to be used in this event, along with assistance from the Camp Pendleton Fire Department, to extinguish the fire and prevent a significant release of radioactivity
- iv) **Chairman Victor** asked that SCE pull together material that can be released on this issue and present to the CEP. Added that the central line of defense for aircraft danger is to divert the aircraft and that other agencies are responsible for doing so
- v) **Glenn Pascall** would like to see the Security presentation include more information regarding sea- and air-borne attacks
- vi) **Chairman Victor** asked Ross Quam about force-on-force drills as Decommissioning proceeds
 - (1) Ross Quam responded that as long as there is fuel in the spent fuel pools, force-on-force drills will continue, per NRC requirements
 - (2) Mark Haire concurred that quarterly drills and annual exercises continue until the site transitions out of decommissioning into an independent spent fuel storage installation
- vii) **Chairman Victor** asked about future support for local law enforcement funding
 - (1) Tom Palmisano explained that emergency plan funding flows to the state then the counties and agencies, per the law. SCE has no intention to petition for a change to that funding between now and 2019. After 2019, SCE has indicated a willingness to continue an appropriate level of funding, taking into consideration the site will then be a dry cask only facility requiring a reduced level of emergency planning and off-site response
- viii) **Hon. Jerry Kern** asked about vulnerabilities associated with the movement of fuel from the spent fuel pools to the ISFSI

San Onofre Decommissioning Community Engagement Panel

REGULAR MEETING

Thursday, April 16, 2015, from 6:00-9:00 p.m. PDT in San Juan Capistrano, California
Meeting Minutes and Action Items

- (1) Mark Haire explained that there were regulations that governed the movement of fuel and the NRC observes these activities to ensure compliance
 - (2) Tom Palmisano added that SONGS is under the same security requirements as when the plant was operating and will be until the spent fuel pools are empty. The security plan and off-site response needs will change once all the fuel is in the ISFSI
 - ix) **Chairman Victor** asked that next time security issues are discussed, include a discussion of whether the system in whole is doing a good job of imagining new threats and if the right people are involved in identifying new threats
 - (1) Mike Haire added that for the triennial force-on-force exercises, the NRC brings in the Department of Defense specialists to assess the site and imagine attack vectors that would be most successful in exploiting the site's protective strategy. The scenario includes a fully compliant insider who provides the attack force with detailed information about the vulnerabilities of the plant to challenge the site's protective strategy.
 - x) **Richard McPherson** is part of a threat assessment team and feels that every conceivable threat has been studied and shared with the NRC
- 6) **Decommissioning Update by Tom Palmisano** (presentation can be found on SONGScommunity.com)
- a) SONGS principles are safety, stewardship, and engagement (including transparency and an open dialogue)
 - b) Decommissioning update:
 - i) Past milestones reached
 - ii) Decommissioning Plan schedule (20-year plan)
 - (1) Prior to 2016, the activities are mostly related to preliminary activities, licensing changes, or decommissioning-specific submittals
 - (2) 2016 and beyond include the ISFSI expansion, fuel pools off-loaded, radiological decommissioning, NRC license amendment (reduced to just ISFSI), and completion of non-radiological restoration to the Department of the Navy's satisfaction
 - iii) Used fuel storage (fuel assembly count)
 - iv) Holtec UMAX installation:
 - (1) Provided photos showing an example of the phases associated with the physical installation of the system (at a Midwest plant)
 - (a) Engineered fill – in our case excavation
 - (b) Support pad (reinforced steel and concrete)
 - (c) Cavity enclosure containers set on base mat (support pad)
 - (d) Continuous pour concrete placement around the cavity enclosure containers
 - (e) Form work for top pad (for placement of final concrete pour)
 - (f) Aerial view of form work for top pad (under each rectangle is a vertical canister)
 - (2) SONGS Used Fuel Storage Systems table providing system, storage, and transportation data for NUHOMS and UMAX systems, including licensing information
 - c) Regulatory submittals and upcoming public meetings:
 - i) Status of a variety of submittals and the forecasted approval date for each
 - (1) Permanently Defueled Technical Specifications (PDTS) submitted March 2014 and expect approval 2Q15

San Onofre Decommissioning Community Engagement Panel
REGULAR MEETING

Thursday, April 16, 2015, from 6:00-9:00 p.m. PDT in San Juan Capistrano, California
Meeting Minutes and Action Items

- (2) Permanently Defueled Emergency Plan Submittals submitted March 2014
 - (a) EP Exemption requests approved by NRC Commissioners
 - (b) Expect approval of LARs 2Q 2015
 - (3) PSDAR, DCE, and IFMP submitted September 2014 and expect NRC letter documenting completion of review 2Q 2015
 - (4) Defueled Quality Assurance Plan submitted November 2014 and expect approval 2Q 2015
 - (5) Cyber Security Rule License Amendment Request submitted November 2014 and expect approval 4Q 2015
 - ii) Upcoming public meetings
 - (1) Cyber Security LAR Public Comment Window: 4/7/15-5/7/15
 - (2) CEC Workshop on Nuclear Power Plant Issues: 4/27/15
 - (3) California Coastal Commission (CCC) Wetlands Technical Review Workshop: 5/11/15
 - (4) CCC ISFSI Coastal Development Permit Public Meeting: tentative 3Q/4Q 2015
 - iii) Public Walking Tours
 - (1) More than 300 people have toured the plant
 - (2) Sign-up on SONGScommunity.com
 - iv) Next San Onofre Education Fair is scheduled for June 11 (venue to be announced)
- 7) **Chairman Victor Facilitated the Public Discussion & Public Comment Period**
- a) Audrey Prosser is concerned about 1) the no-fly zone over San Onofre, and 2) lack of national used fuel storage solution
 - i) Mark Haire mentioned there are national controls regarding flying over nuclear plants
 - b) Leticia Boarchman (not present) urged the CEP to facilitate public input on the regulatory applications and exemptions
 - c) Roger Johnson expressed disappointment that the security discussion was focused on defense against NRC-defined threats and is concerned about threats that were not discussed (e.g., drones, missile attacks, etc.). Inquired about why there was no mention of the National Academy of Science study² addressing terrorist attacks on fuel pools and dry cask storage
 - d) Marni Magda asked about Secretary Ernie Moniz's waste strategy speech ("A Look Back on the Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future" at the Bipartisan Policy Center"); energy should be spent on moving the SONGS fuel off-site
 - i) Chairman Victor said his interpretation of the speech was that the DOE is frustrated with the difficulties at Yucca Mountain and is pursuing its own strategy for disposing of its own high-level waste; Dr. Moniz's speech will be posted on SONGScommunity.com
 - e) Chris Johnston asked what screening is used for background investigations
 - i) Ross Quam responded that the standard Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI) test is used for psychological screening
 - f) Donna Gilmore commented on the lack of ability to inspect the Holtec system
 - g) Sandy Stiasm questioned SCE's ability to share information about the on-site storage and the long-term safety of the local communities
 - i) Chairman Victor mentioned that SCE has agreed to share the Holtec plan sometime over the next six months, and which will include an articulation of what defense-in-depth means,

² Terrorism and the Electric Power Delivery System, National Research Council of the National Academies (2007)

San Onofre Decommissioning Community Engagement Panel

REGULAR MEETING

Thursday, April 16, 2015, from 6:00-9:00 p.m. PDT in San Juan Capistrano, California

Meeting Minutes and Action Items

how monitoring and inspections will take place (for both canisters and concrete), and what schemes will be in place for repairs (including transportation off-site for repairs, if necessary)

- h) Charles Griffin shared that focusfusion.org has a lot of important information on the innovation of waste disposal
- i) Jim Cummings commented that the federal government has failed in providing a repository and that the CEP is in a good position to put pressure on Congress and submit a formal request
- j) Jenifer Massey is concerned about storing canisters in a seismic area, aerial attacks, and evacuation requirements
 - i) Tom Palmisano mentioned that the emergency response annual mailing took place over the last several months and can be found on SONGScommunity.com; when the emergency plans change the information will be posted on the website and will be included in a Decommissioning update
- k) Anonymous comment card questioning the future of Yucca Mountain
 - i) Chairman Victor mentioned that the environmental licensing of Yucca Mountain is proceeding, however, is not fully funded
- l) Rita Conn is concerned about the Holtec system, the nuclear industry's lack of interest in public protection, and the lack of SONGS perimeter protection
- m) Berton Moldow concerned about storage of used fuel on-site and would like to see the fuel moved to China Lake (owned by US Navy), and also concerned about Holtec design
- n) J. Steinmetor concerned about the cost of Holtec system and associated CPUC applications
- o) Ray Lutz concerned by lack of CEP decision-making capability and that the public should be contacting government officials themselves and not rely on the CEP to take a stand
 - i) Chairman Victor clarified that the CEP is merely trying to help communities focus on some ideas that work
- p) Bruce Campbell is concerned about premature movement of spent fuel from pools to dry cask as well as Holtec's license approval
 - i) Chairman Victor shared an unsigned comment card asking about outsourcing jobs to other than American workers

8) Chairman Victor – Closing Remarks

- a) Future CEP quarterly meetings
 - i) July 23, 2015, Environmental Review Process (including NEPA and CEQA review), Oceanside
 - ii) October 29, 2015, Economic Impact Study, San Juan Capistrano
- b) Garry Brown commented that he would like to see a review of the components of the Holtec system, particularly any new or beta test elements versus those that have been used and are proven
 - i) Chairman Victor committed to making sure SCE and Holtec show the panel what defense-in-depth looks like and identify the parts of the system where there is a lot of experience as well as the parts where there is less experience. Chairman Victor added that it seems this is the spirit of some of the public comments regarding the ability to inspect concrete
- c) Chairman Victor commented on the \$1.3 billion for used fuel storage being referred to in the media and clarified that the sum is not in total for the Holtec system, but includes contingency, and the entire process of fuel movement (Holtec cost is about \$100-\$150 million)

San Onofre Decommissioning Community Engagement Panel

REGULAR MEETING

Thursday, April 16, 2015, from 6:00-9:00 p.m. PDT in San Juan Capistrano, California

Meeting Minutes and Action Items

- i) Tom Palmisano clarified that the DCE line item of \$1.3 billion is to manage spent fuel between now and 2052 and will revisit the breakdown in a future presentation
- d) Chairman Victor mentioned that when the Holtec plan is presented, the misquoted comment being attributed to Dr. Singh regarding the inability to repair canisters, will be revisited

9) **Meeting adjourned at 9:10 p.m.**

San Onofre Decommissioning Community Engagement Panel

REGULAR MEETING

Thursday, April 16, 2015, from 6:00-9:00 p.m. PDT in San Juan Capistrano, California

Meeting Minutes and Action Items

ACTION ITEMS

	Action Item Description	Comments
1	Include Agenda for the 4/27/15 CEC Workshop on SONGScommunity.com	Resulting from comments made by Glenn Pascall when Chairman Victor asked for feedback/comments on the concept memo
2	Future Security Plan presentation to include sea and air defenses and the mitigation of radioactive releases resulting from this type of event. Include threat identification experts sometime in the future	Resulting from comments made by Hon. Pam Patterson following Ross Quam's presentation as well as Dr. William Parker and Glenn Pascall. Chairman Victor requested the inclusion of threat identification experts
3	Post an evergreen calendar of upcoming public meetings and events on SONGScommunity.com	Per Tom Palmisano during his review of upcoming public meetings and followed by specific Chairman Victor request
4	Post NAS Study (and other similar studies) on SONGScommunity.com	Requested by Chairman Victor following public comment by Roger Johnson
5	Post Dr. Ernie Moniz's speech, "A Look Back on the Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future" at the Bipartisan Policy Center" on SONGScommunity.com	Requested by Chairman Victor following public comment by Marni Magda
6	Future meeting to include a Holtec presentation on their aging management program (monitoring, inspections, testing, etc.), defense-in-depth, and identify, if possible, new and untested technology being used	Mentioned a number of times during the meeting