

From: r johnson <r66nj@yahoo.com>
Date: July 15, 2014 at 6:26:32 PM PDT
To: "David G. Victor" <david.victor@ucsd.edu>
Subject: Questions for the CEP
Reply-To: r johnson <r66nj@yahoo.com>

Dear Prof. Victor:

Below are some questions I hope will get asked at the workshop:

1. About how many atmospheric effluent releases will Edison be doing each month during decommissioning? Their report says that emissions will be about the same as during reactor operation. How many did they do per week or month during reactor operation? The quarterly averages submitted to the NRC are not a substitute for this information.
2. Same question for liquid ocean releases.
3. Will the public be notified before these releases take place? Surfers, for example, might wish to avoid Trestles or San Onofre beach while discharges are being made.
4. The National Academy of Sciences says that Edison maintains strip charts of all releases telling dates and concentrations. Will these be made available to the public? If not, why not? Is Edison going to continue keeping this information secret from the public?
5. What kind of blasting will take place? Will the containment domes be blasted? What else?
6. The 18 ft in diameter discharge pipes 1100 feet into the ocean, what will happen to them?
7. What underground structures and contamination will remain and be covered up and "greenfielded"?
8. Where will Class C and greater waste go? How will it get there?
9. When do you expect a permanent national repository to be accepting high level waste? How did Edison pick the year 2049 for having all the waste gone? Is this a wild guess or do they have some information no one else knows?
10. What happens to the temporary waste storage facility at San Onofre if such a repository is never built or not build for say 50 years?
11. Has Edison studied any alternatives other than keeping the waste here until a national repository is open? Why not? What alternatives are there? Who is exploring alternatives?
12. Has high level waste been moved around the country? Moved within CA? If it has been moved in the past, why can't it be moved in the future? Can it go in trucks or only by rail?
13. Is it SAFE to move high level waste within the state? This is a different question from whether it is legal or whether there is an available site.
14. What laws would have to be changed to make it possible to move waste within the state?
15. What is the PROCESS by which comparative site evaluations could be made for building the new ISFSI?
16. Why is the CEP failing to inquire about alternatives to the current plan to build the new temporary ISFSI here? Can this be the central item for a future meeting? Why is there no other plan to

consider? The issue is not to identify a perfect site with no objections. The issue is to inquire about the process of exploring other suitable temporary sites for the new facility. San Onofre is definitely not a suitable site. It is unthinkable that the CEP would insist that all alternatives to the present plan cannot be discussed.

I hope you will circulate this and get these questions addressed. I'm off to a conference and will miss the meeting. I hope there will be a way to view it next week.

Cordially,

Roger Johnson, PhD
Professor Emeritus

R. Johnson